UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,846	12/07/2005	Helmut Bechtel	DE 020159	1258
	7590 02/18/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			HINES, ANNE M	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/518,846	BECHTEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ANNE M. HINES	2879		

	7 THILE	2070
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	PR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed value. AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	onsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially red	. , ,
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		impliant Amendment (1 10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable ii submitted iii a separate, i	amely med amenament danceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.		i serialisir ier alie Narios pedades.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Mariceli Santiago/	
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2879

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Specifically the amendment to independent claim 1 requiring that the 2n + 1 transparent dielectric layers are configured to reduce transmission in blue spectral region so that daylight contrast is increased requires further consideration.

Continuation of 11. Specifically, the Examiner finds unpersuasive Applicant's argument that the combination of references Tokito, Weaver, and Austin in the rejection of claims 9 and 10 in the Office Action of December 9, 2008 does not disclose the required subject matter of claims 9 and 10. Specifically, Applicant argues that the references do not disclose the 2n + 1 dielectric layers being configured to reduce transmission in blue spectral region so that daylight contrast is increased. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Tokito, Weaver, and Austin disclose a device with a filter comprised of 2n + 1 dielectric layers formed of Applicant's claimed materials and positioned with relation to the device as required by Applicant's claims. Additionally, Applicant discloses e.g. in the Specification at Page 5, beginning at line 14 that a transparent dielectric layer adjoining an electrode causes transmission of light emitted by the device EL layer to be increased while the transmission in the blue spectral region is reduced, which filtering effect enables the daylight contrast to be increased; as such the Examiner considers the function of the 2n +1 dielectric filter layers to characteristically disclose the function claimed in claims 9 and 10